

¡URGENT!

2019 Congress of the NLS

Argument

Subjective Urgency and Transferential Unconscious

In his "Preface to the English-Language Edition" of *Seminar XI*,¹ Lacan speaks of his *urgent cases*. The urgency that Lacan speaks about in this text from his very last teaching is not the subjective urgency that he speaks about in "On the Subject Who Is Finally in Question", written in 1966.²

As Jacques-Alain Miller reminds us in his course,³ when Lacan speaks of a subjective urgency in 1966 it is already a question of the formation of psychoanalysts: "[...] there will be *some* psychoanalyst who responds to certain subjective emergencies [*urgences subjectives*]".⁴ This text is contemporary with the

² Lacan, Jacques, "On the Subject Who Is Finally in Question", *Écrits*, trans. B. Fink, W.W. Norton & Co., New York/London, 2006, pp. 189-196. [TN: In this text, "*urgences subjectives*", which appears in Lacan's concluding sentence (when he is speaking about what an analytic formation permits one to respond to), is translated as "subjective emergencies", which is indeed one of the meanings of the word "*urgence*".]

³ MILLER, Jacques-Alain, "L'orientation lacanienne. Le tout dernier Lacan" (2006-2007), class given at the

¹ Lacan, Jacques, "Preface to the English Edition of *Seminar XI*", *The Lacanian Review*, Issue No. 6, trans. R. Grigg, NLS, Paris, to be published in November 2018; see also, "Preface to the English-Language Edition of *Seminar XI*" *The Seminar, Book XI*, *The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psychoanalysis*, trans. A. Sheridan, W.W. Norton & Co., New York/London, 1998, pp. vii-ix.

MILLER, Jacques-Alain, "L'orientation lacanienne. Le tout dernier Lacan" (2006-2007), class given at the Department of Psychoanalysis in the University, Paris 8. A first transcription of the three first lessons of this course, established by C. Bonningue, was published in *Quarto* 88-89 (December 2006) and 90 (June 2007); a second version established by C. Alberti and P. Hellebois is cited here and will be published in *The Lacanian Review* 6, *op. cit.* Unrevised by the author.

⁴ Lacan, Jacques, "On the Subject Who Is Finally in Question", Écrits, op. cit., p. 196.

"Proposition of 9 October" on the invention of the pass. In this 1967 Proposition, Lacan uses the concept of the *subject* notably to introduce the matheme of transference on the basis of the subject supposed to know. "A subject" he says, "is supposed [...] by the signifier that represents him". From which it follows that the algorithm of transference is deduced from the concept of the subject of the signifier. Urgency, as Lacan conceptualises it in these texts of 1966 and 67, is at Archimedean point of the establishment of transference. Situated at this logical moment of subjective destabilization, it accounts for the precipitation of the subject in the direction of haste, making it possible to put him to work. This "urgency" [urgence] is the traumatic moment when, for a subject, the signifying chain has been broken. The psychoanalyst is the one who listens to those who complain of an acute rupturing of the signifying chain.

The subjective urgency or emergency, this *urgence subjective*, is the point of departure that presides over the establishment of the signifier of transference in its relation to *le signifiant quelconque* – to "any" signifier. Lacan refers to what we call the demand of a potential analysand as an urgent request [*la requête d'une urgence*]. In the psychoanalytic sense, subjective urgency implies a call to the Other, to S_2 .

Urgent Cases and the *Parlêtre*

The "Preface to the English-Language Edition" of *Seminar XI* is a three-paged text that Lacan wrote in 1976 as an extension of his Seminar, *The Sinthome*; Miller even considers it to be this seminar's last lesson. This short text is a new way of taking up his "Proposition" on the pass. It is for this reason that Miller considers it to be, in some way, Lacan's last will and testament.

When he brings up the pass again at the end of his teaching, Lacan no longer uses the signifier "subjective urgency", but that of "urgent cases".

Other signifiers are also not found in this text. While "transference" finds its algorithmic definition in the 1967 "Proposition", this signifier is nowhere to be found in the later text. And for good reason, for in his very last teaching, the subject supposed to know is itself thrown into question. The subject supposed to know is the hypothesis of the Freudian unconscious, the transferential unconscious. In this final text, the signifiers "knowledge", "subject supposed to know" and "transference" no longer appear. In this regard, Miller points out that he prefers that we say that we come back from one session to the next because *ça pousse*, "it pushes", *ça urge*, "it urges" rather than because of transference.

Knowledge is no longer there because Lacan no longer believes in it. He considers knowledge to be only a semblant, a hare-brained lucubration about *lalangue*.⁷

On the other hand, while knowledge produces nothing but lies, we find another signifier, that of "lying truth". And instead of the signifier of transference we find "these urgent cases".

⁵ Lacan, Jacques, "Proposition of 9 October 1967 on the Psychoanalyst of the School", trans. R. Grigg, available online at: http://iclo-nls.org/wp-content/uploads/Pdf/Propositionof9October1967.pdf

⁷ Cf. Lacan, Jacques, The Seminar, Book XX, *Encore*, trans B. Fink, W.W. Norton & Co, New York/London, 1999, p. 139.

Admittedly, urgency here is, on the one hand, just as in 1967, what presides over the analysis, what presides over transference. In the analytic situation, the psychoanalyst is this person, this *quelconque* or "whomsoever" who embodies this place of address for analysands – these speaking beings that "run" after the truth – the one who agrees to "pair" with these urgent cases. We meet an analyst when we are in a state of urgency. But, on the other hand, Lacan takes an additional step that goes beyond transference; there is another urgency. In analysis, there is always urgency, there is always something that pushes, that urges, that presses and that is beyond transference, even if one takes one's time or lets it drag on.¹⁰ Urgency is something that presses the parlêtre. Something of the order of "the urgency of life", as Dominique Holvoet magnificently emphasized in his teaching as an AS¹¹.

"This indicates that there is a causality operating at a deeper level than the transference, one that Lacan characterizes as a level of satisfaction insofar as it is urgent and analysis is its means."12

We run after the truth, says Lacan; this is what happens in free association, but truth cannot be caught by the signifier.

What is urgent for Lacan at the end of his teaching – the analytical urgency, that which pushes the *parlêtre* – consists therefore of running after truth, of pursuing the truth that harbours the real. But this truth cannot be captured with words. The urgency in question is the attempt to catch hold of a truth that can never be reached. This race to pursue the truth that we never can catch is what provides the satisfaction of these urgent cases, of the speaking bodies. This is why one can say that analysis is the means for this urgent satisfaction.

Satis, etymologically the Latin "enough", constitutes the root of the signifier "satisfaction", the "it is enough" of the pass. Consequently, satisfaction comes in two modalities: that of satis - "it is enough", and that of a new way of knowing how to do with one's real, with the non-resorbable jouissance.

In this final text, Lacan no longer says "the psychoanalyst derives his authorisation only from himself", 13 because the subject produced by free association is thrown back into question. Instead, he emphasizes what is urgent, the impulse that pushes the subject to "hystoricize himself" ["s'hystoriser de lui-même"]14, namely to

⁸ Lacan, Jacques, "Preface to the English Edition of Seminar XI", The Lacanian Review 6, op. cit., but also, "There is no truth that, in passing through attention, does not lie", and "The mirage of truth, from which only lies can be expected"; see also, "Preface to the English-Language Edition of Seminar XI" The Seminar, Book XI, The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psychoanalysis, op. cit., pp. xi, vii, viii.

⁹ Cf. *ibid.* (*TLR*), "Which doesn't prevent one from running after [the truth]"; see also *ibid.*, p. vii. ¹⁰ Cf. Miller, Jacques-Alain, "L'orientation lacanienne. Choses de finesse en psychoanalyse" (2008-2009), class given at the Department of Psychoanalysis in the University, Paris 8, 21 January 2009. A first version of this text, transcribed by J. Peraldi et Y. Vanderveken was published as "La passe du parlêtre" in La Cause freudienne, No. 74, Navarin, Paris, 2010, pp. 113-23; a second version established by C. Alberti et P. Hellebois, with an Englishlanguage translation by R. Grigg, will appear as "The Speaking Being and the Pass" in The Lacanian Review 6, op. cit. Unrevised by the author.

Cf. Holvoet, Dominique, remarks pronounced during an « Interview pour PIPOL 5 », conducted by Patricia Bosquin, January 2011; « De la causation du sujet à la logique de la cure », talk given on 19/02/2011 at Bruges, published in INWIT; as well as many times during his teaching as AS.

Miller, Jacques-Alain, "The Speaking Being and the Pass", The Lacanian Review 6, op. cit.

¹³ Cf. Lacan, Jacques, "Proposition of 9 October 1967 on the Psychoanalyst of the School", op. cit.
14 Cf. Lacan, Jacques, "Preface to the English Edition of Seminar XI", The Lacanian Review 6, op. cit, see also, "Preface to the English-Language Edition of Seminar XI", The Seminar, Book XI, The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psychoanalysis, op. cit. p. viii.

hystoricize himself without making a pair with his analyst. As you can see, in the very last Lacan, at the Archimedean point of the pass, what is at stake is urgent. The pass is done via the urgency of life.

Bernard Seynhaeve

Translated by Philip Dravers

